Case 2:05-cv-00849-FCD-DAD Document 16 Filed 05/12/06 Page 1 of 3 Gregory L. Spallas, SBN 129306 1 Kristin L. Oliveira, SBN 204384 PHILLIPS, SPALLAS & ANGSTADT LLP 2 650 California Street, Tenth Floor San Francisco, California 94108 3 T: (415) 278-9400 4 F: (415) 278-9411 5 Attorneys for Defendant WAL-MART STORES, INC. (erroneously sued herein as WALMART) 6 7 Jesse S. Ortiz, III, SBN 176450 **BIGLER ORTIZ & CHAN LLP** 1107 Ninth Street, Suite 1025 Sacramento, CA 95814 9 T: (916) 444-3971 F: (916) 444-3975 Attorneys for Plaintiff 10 11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 12 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA – SACRAMENTO 13 14 MICHAEL FULLER. Case No. 2:05-CV-00849-FCD-DAD 15 Plaintiff, 16 JOINT STIPULATION REGARDING **EXTENSION OF HEARING DEADLINE:** VS. 17 **ORDER** WALMART, a California Corporation, and 18 DOES 1-20, inclusive, "AS MODIFIED" 19 Defendants. 20 21 TO THE COURT: 22 Plaintiff Michael Fuller by and through his counsel of record, Jesse S. Ortiz III, and 23 defendant Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. by and through its counsel of record, Kristin L. Oliveira, herein 24 agree and stipulate to a brief continuance of the Court-ordered hearing deadline for the Court to 25 hear all Dispositive Motions from its current date of June 19, 2006 to July 7, 2006 and 26 respectfully request the Court order same. Aware of the pending Dispositive Motion deadline, 27 the parties jointly submit this Stipulation to seek additional time to engage in settlement 28 discussions before incurring costly litigation expenses. -1-

JOINT STIPULATION REGARDING EXTENSION OF HEARING DEADLINE; [PROPOSED] ORDER Case No. 2:05-CV-00849-FCD-DAD

Case 2:05-cv-00849-FCD-DAD Document 16 Filed 05/12/06 Page 2 of 3

The parties state that GOOD CAUSE exists to continue the Dispositive Motion hearing deadline in view of the following circumstances. The parties were previously referred to the Court's Voluntary Dispute Resolution Process. Thereafter, the parties made efforts to schedule an Early Neutral Evaluation session, but were forced to continue the ENE until April 5, 2006 due to the trial conflicts of plaintiff's counsel and unavailability of the assigned neutral. On April 5, 2006, the parties participated in VDRP, but were unable to resolve the matter at that time.

On February 24, 2006, the parties stipulated to extend the discovery deadline in order to reserve the right to seek discovery after the ENE session then scheduled for March 15, 2006. The Court granted the parties' request on February 27, 2006 and ordered discovery to be completed by April 17, 2006. At the same time, on its own accord, the Court re-set the last day for hearings on Dispositive Motions to June 16, 2006, and continued the Final Pretrial Conference to August 18, 2006 and the Jury Trial to October 24, 2006.

Following receipt of the Court's Order on February 27, the parties were forced to continue the ENE session from March 15, 2006 to April 5, 2006 as counsel for plaintiff was in trial on another action. Since the ENE session on April 5, the parties have discussed a possible informal resolution to this action without requiring the Court conduct a Jury Trial; they anticipate being able to conclude negotiations in the next three to four weeks. If defendant is required to file a Dispositive Motion for the Court's consideration by the current deadline of June 16, 2006, however, such filing will surely end all meaningful settlement discussions between the parties.

Accordingly, the parties stipulate and respectfully request the Court grant a brief extension of time to July 7, 2006 for the Court to hear all Dispositive Motions. The parties make this request in good faith to further judicial efficiency and reduce the unnecessary waste of the Court's time. In addition, the parties do not believe that this continuance for the Court to hear Dispositive Motions will require the Court to continue the Pre-Trial Conference on August 18, 2006.

It is so stipulated by and between the parties through their counsel of record.

28 | ///

Case 2:05-cv-00849-FCD-DAD Document 16 Filed 05/12/06 Page 3 of 3 Dated: May 9___, 2006 /s/1 2 Jesse S. Ortiz, III Attorney for Plaintiff 3 4 /s/ 5 Dated: May 9___, 2006 Kristin L. Oliveira 6 Attorneys for Defendant 7 WAL-MART STORES, INC. 8 9 **ORDER** 10 11 Pursuant to the stipulation, the court modifies its scheduling order of February 27, 2006 12 and orders that the last day for dispositive motions to be heard is July 7, 2006. In light of this 13 extension conflicts now exist with the court's calendar and the remaining dates as set. 14 Accordingly, the following dates are hereby RESET: final pretrial conference is set for 15 September 22, 2006 at 1:30 p.m. with the Joint pretrial statement due on September 15, 2006. 16 The jury trial is set for December 5, 2006 at 9:00 a.m. 17 18 DATED: May 12, 2006 /s/ Frank C. Damrell Jr. 19 Honorable Frank C. Damrell, Jr. UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 20 **JUDGE** 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 JOINT STIPULATION REGARDING EXTENSION OF HEARING DEADLINE; [PROPOSED] ORDER